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Overview

1. Change is here
2. Creates opportunities as well as threats
3. Why respond other than an incremental adjustment?
4. How should organizations (hospitals) respond?
5. What are the results to which we should aspire?
Hospital care as the cornerstone of health care: rural challenge answered with Hill-Burton

Hospital financial structure challenged by Prospective Payment System (PPS): rural challenged answered with Flex Program

Health care delivery challenged by changes in site of care and payment shift to “value”: rural challenge answered with ...
Current Rural Landscape

- Population aging in place
- Increasing prevalence of chronic disease
- Sources of patient revenue changing
- Is small scale independence sustainable?
“My sense is that most small, rural hospitals have a feeling they will need to pick a partner eventually. Rural communities in the West are fiercely independent. It’s how they define who they are. John has a good hospital and he’s an excellent administrator so they don’t feel desperate. But it’s hard for rural hospitals to look ahead and think that they won’t have to have a partner.” [Sr VP for network development at Centura Health]
“There has to be a way for small, independent hospitals to show that they have high-quality, affordable care and to get reimbursed for what they do locally.” [CEO of Black River Falls Hospital in Wisconsin]

“Everyone is having trouble crossing the shaky bridge into value-based systems. If we do it correctly, rural health care will emerge stronger. I’m bullish on it in the long run. In the short-run? We will have a lot of trouble.” [Brock Slabach, NRHA]

The Answer Should Not Be ...

- Closing hospitals providing only access to essential services
- “Forced sell out”
Tectonic Shifts Occurring

- Insurance coverage shifts: through health insurance marketplaces; private exchanges; use of narrow networks
- Public programs shifting to private plans
- Volume-to-value in payment designs
Tectonic Shifts Occurring

- Insurance coverage shifts: through health insurance marketplaces; private exchanges; use of narrow networks
Approximately 20 million newly insured as of Q4 2015 (compared to 2010): health insurance marketplace enrollment, Medicaid enrollment, employer-based insurance, purchase from traditional sources, effects of new rules

National data for all adults show 7.2% increase in insurance coverage in rural, 6.3% in urban (Urban Institute data)

Consequence: new payment contracts to negotiate for rural providers; role of deductibles and copays
Public programs shifting to private plans
Medicare Advantage and Changes to Medicaid Programs

- Rural enrollment in MA, including prepaid plans, as of March 2015 more than 2.0 million, 21.2% of all beneficiaries
- Medicaid conversion to managed care organizations contracting to provide care; the MCOs determine provider payment
- Variations of accountable care organizations, with provider risk sharing
Medicaid ACOs: Colorado and Other States

- Managed care to ACOs to ...
- Managed Care Organizations since 1983
- Accountable Care Collaborative started in 2011; now enrolling 58% of Medicaid clients
- Net savings of $29 to $33 million: reductions in ER use, imaging services, readmissions
- Oregon with Coordinated Care Organizations (CCOs) (2012)
- Minnesota with Integrated Health Partnerships (IHPs) (2013)

March 11, 2015
Medicaid ACO Activities

- MN: IHPs must demonstrate partnerships with other agencies: social service public health
- MN: total cost of care calculations
- OR: CCOs must have community health needs assessment, encouraged to build partnerships with social service and community entities

Tectonic Shifts Occurring

- Volume-to-value in payment designs
Speed and Magnitude: Goals for Medicare Payment

- 30% of Medicare provider payments in alternative payment models by 2016
- 50% of Medicare provider payments in alternative payment models by 2018
- 85% of Medicare fee-for-service payments to be tied to quality and value by 2016
- 90% of Medicare fee-for-service payments to be tied to quality and value by 2018
Parallel in Commercial Insurance

- Coalition of 17 major health systems, including Advocate Health, Ascension, Providence Health & Services, Trinity Health, Premier, Dartmouth-Hitchcock
- Includes Aetna, Blue Cross of California, Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Massachusetts, Health Care Service Corporation
- Includes Caesars Entertainment, Pacific Business Group on Health
- Goal: 75% of business into value-based arrangements by 2020

Evolution of Medicare Payment Through Four Categories

- Fee-for-service with no link to quality
- Fee-for-service with link to quality
- Alternative payment models built on fee-for-service architecture
- Population-based payment

Source of this and following slides: CMS Fact Sheets available from cms.gov/newsroom
# Illustration of Move to Population-Based Payment

## Payment Taxonomy Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 1: Fee for Service—No Link to Quality</th>
<th>Category 2: Fee for Service—Link to Quality</th>
<th>Category 3: Alternative Payment Models Built on Fee-for-Service Architecture</th>
<th>Category 4: Population-Based Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td><strong>Payments are based on volume of services and not linked to quality or efficiency</strong></td>
<td><strong>At least a portion of payments vary based on the quality or efficiency of health care delivery</strong></td>
<td><strong>Payment is not directly triggered by service delivery so volume is not linked to payment. Clinicians and organizations are paid and responsible for the care of a beneficiary for a long period (e.g. ≥1 yr)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Medicare FFS** | • Limited in Medicare fee-for-service  
  • Majority of Medicare payments now are linked to quality | • Hospital value-based purchasing  
  • Physician Value-Based Modifier  
  • Readmissions Hospital Acquired Condition Reduction Program | • Accountable care organizations  
  • Medical homes  
  • Bundled payments  
  • Comprehensive primary care minisite  
  • Comprehensive ESRD  
  • Medicare-Medicaid Financial Alignment Initiative Fee-For-Service Model | • Eligible Pioneer accountable care organizations in years 3-5 |
Shrinking Band of Traditional Payment

Target percentage of Medicare FFS payments linked to quality and alternative payment models in 2016 and 2018

- All Medicare FFS (Categories 1-4)
- FFS linked to quality (Categories 2-4)
- Alternative payment models (Categories 3-4)

2016:
- 30% (All Medicare FFS)
- 85% (All Medicare FFS)

2018:
- 50% (All Medicare FFS)
- 90% (All Medicare FFS)
CMS Slogan: Better Care, Smarter Spending, Healthier People

- Comprehensive Primary Care Initiative: multi-payer (Medicare, Medicaid, private health care payers) partnership in four states (AR, CO, NJ, OR)
- Multi-payer Advanced Primary Care Initiative: eight advanced primary care initiatives in ME, MI, MN, NY, NC, PA, RI, and VT
- Transforming Clinical Practice Initiative: designed to support 150,000 clinician practices over next 4 years in comprehensive quality improvement strategies
Pay-for-Value with Incentives: Hospital-based VBP, readmissions reduction, hospital-acquired condition reduction program

New payment models: Pioneer and Next Generation Accountable Care Organizations, incentive program for ACOs, Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (105 awardees in Phase 2, risk bearing), Health Care Innovation Awards

Merit-based incentive payment for physicians, or bonuses for participating in alternative payment methods
Better coordination of care for beneficiaries with multiple chronic conditions

Partnership for patients focused on averting hospital-acquired conditions
Hospital closure: 58+ since 2010; up to 283 “vulnerable” now

Enrollment increasing through Health Insurance Marketplaces and in plans outside of those marketplaces

Development of health systems: 1,299 health care sector mergers and acquisitions in 2014, up 2% from the year before, with value of deals up 137%

Growth in Accountable Care Organizations: United Health just announced developing 750 more; Next Generation in Medicare
Hospital Closures: Effects?

- Study of 195 hospital closures between 2003 and 2011 “found no significant difference between the change in annual mortality rates for patients living in the hospital service areas (HSAs) that experience closures with rates in matched HSAs without a closure”
- Also, no difference in all-cause mortality rates
- So, not worse for residents

Hospital Closures: Effects?

- They are “One of the Cornerstones of Small Town Life” – Kaiser Health News March 17, 2015 (Guy Guliottta); example of Mt Vernon, TX (2 hours east of Dallas)
- Communities depend on the hospitals for health care (Casey, Moscovice, Holmes, Pink Hung Health Affairs April, 2015)
“rural hospitals and the rural economy rise and fall together”; examples from Georgia (A Ragusea, www.marketplace.org. April 17, 2014)

But, many rural hospitals “rise to the challenges” (R Pyrillis, Hospitals & Health Networks cover story January 13, 2015)
What is the Next Move to Rural Vitality?

- Goals of a high performance system
- Strategies to achieve those goals
- Sustainable rural-centric systems
- Aligning reforms: focus on health (personal and community), payment based on value, regulatory policy facilitating change, new system characteristics
The High Performance System

✓ Affordable: to patients, payers, community
✓ Accessible: local access to essential services, connected to all services across the continuum
✓ High quality: do what we do at top of ability to perform, and measure
✓ Community-based: focus on needs of the community, which vary based on community characteristics
✓ Patient-centered: meeting needs, and engaging consumers in their care
Strategies

- Begin with what is vital to the community (needs assessment, formal or informal, contributes to gauging)
- Build off the appropriate base: what is in the community connected to what is not
- Integration: merge payment streams, role of non-patient revenue, integrate services, governance structures that bring relevant delivery organizations together
Illustration: CHNA for an Illinois Hospital

- Indicators from county health rankings:
  - Adults reporting poor or fair health: 14% (IL 16%)
  - Adult obesity: 28% (IL 25%)

Risk factors
- High Blood Pressure: 32% (29%)
- Arthritis: 30% (26%)
- At-risk Alcohol: 18% (17%)
Priorities from that Assessment

- Substance abuse
- Nutrition, physical activity and obesity
- Access to care
- Mental health
$80 million over five years in six communities, focus on obesity and tobacco use

Partnering with other organizations in the communities

Source: *Daily Dose from Modern Healthcare* November 19, 2015
Taking Action: Serving the Community

- Hill Country Memorial Hospital in Fredericksburg, TX
- Used Toyota principles to better management to cut costs
- Used knowledge of community to focus on elderly
- Turned hospital near closure to a thriving community provider
Approaches to Use

- Community-appropriate health system development and workforce design
- Governance and integration approaches
- Flexibility in facility or program designation to care for patients in new ways
- Financing models that promote investment in delivery system reform
Community-Appropriate Health System Development and Workforce Design

- Local determination based on local need, priorities
- Create use of workforce to meet local needs within the parameters of local resources
- Use grant programs
Governance and Integration Approaches

- Bring programs together that address community needs through patient-centered health care and other services
- Create mechanism for collective decision making using resources from multiple sources
How to sustain emergency care services
Primary care through medical home, team-based care models
Evolution to global budgeting
Financing Models that Promote Investment in Delivery System Reform

- Shared savings arrangements
- Bundled payment
- Evolution to global budgeting
- New uses of investment capital
Special Importance: Shared Governance

- Regional megaboards
- Aggregate and merge programs and funding streams
- Inter-connectedness of programs that address personal and community health: the culture of health framework
- Strategic planning with implementation of specifics
- Develop and sustain *appropriate* delivery modalities
Special Considerations to Get to Shared Responsibility, Decisions, Resources

- A convener to bring organizations and community leaders together: who and how?
- Critical to success: realizing shared, common vision and mission, instilling culture of collaboration, respected leaders
- Needs an infrastructure: the megaboard concept
- Reaching beyond health care organizations to new partners to achieve community goals
Examples of Governance

- Quad City Health Initiative: 25-member community board
- Heart of New Ulm Project in MN: New Ulm Medical Center in lead role in rural community

Results

- Public health investments by hospitals in North Carolina
- Maryland hospitals investing in public health in using and benefitting from global budget
Momentum is toward something very different, more than changing how to pay for specific services

Need to be strategic, in lock step with, or ahead of, change in the market

Change in dependencies from fee-for-service to sharing in total dollars spent on health
Fundamental Strategies

- Integrating care: driven by where the “spend” is and therefore where the “savings” are
- From inside the walls to serving throughout the community
- Collaborations are critical
- Culture of Health Framework
Aspirational Goal: Accountable Care Community Components

- Collaboration and partnership for effective local governance
- Structure and support including health information technology, a “backbone” organization
- Leadership and support from strong champions
- Defined geography and geographic reach
- Targeted programmatic efforts
Other Tips for Crossing the Bridge

- AHA: “Your Hospital’s Path to the Second Curve” (Jan, 2014)
- AHA Center for Healthcare Governance: “Empowering Board Members to Improve Population Health through Value-Based Care”
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement “New Rules for Radical Redesign of Health Care Delivery”
Retaining Rural Values

✓ Accessible
✓ Affordable
✓ High quality
✓ Community based
✓ Patient centered
For further information

The RUPRI Center for Rural Health Policy Analysis
http://cph.uiowa.edu/rupri

The RUPRI Health Panel
http://www.rupri.org

The Rural Health Value Program
http://www.ruralhealthvalue.org
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